

**Jet Propulsion Laboratory** California Institute of Technology

#### **CGI DM Testing & Performance**

Caleb W. Baker

Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology Pasadena, CA 91109 August 26, 2024

**• NASA GODDARD SPACE FLIGHT CENTER • JET PROPULSION LABORATORY • • L3HARRIS TECHNOLOGIES • BALL AEROSPACE • TELEDYNE • NASA KENNEDY SPACE CENTER • • SPACE TELESCOPE SCIENCE INSTITUTE • INFRARED PROCESSING AND ANALYSIS CENTER • • EUROPEAN SPACE AGENCY • JAPAN AEROSPACE EXPLORATION AGENCY • • CENTRE NATIONAL d'ÉTUDES SPATIALES • MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR ASTRONOMY •**

ROMAN

**SPACE TELE** 

Copyright 2024 California Institute of Technology Government sponsorship acknowledged



# **Presentation Outline**



- DM Overview & DM Team Credits
	- Notes on Data in This Presentation
- DM I&T
	- I&T Flow
	- Critical GSE
- Risk Reduction Testing
	- PMN Module Stage
	- Front-End Assembly Stage
- Optical Performance Testing
- DM Lessons Learned





Jet Propulsion Laboratory<br>California Institute of Technology

# **CGI DM OVERVIEW**

(Glam Shots and Credit Reel)





Jet Propulsion Laboratory<br>California Institute of Technology













**Jet Propulsion Laboratory** California Institute of Technology

- Actuator module is based on Lead Magnesium Niobate (PMN) with embedded platinum electrodes.
- Each actuator is spot-bonded to a small pillar of glass (a "pusher pad") extending from the fused silica face sheet.
- Actuator modules were provided by Adaptive Optics Associates - Xinetics (AOX; subs. Northrop Grumman).
	- Xinetics has been building actuator modules for JPL since WF/PC-II articulated fold mirrors (Hubble - 1994).



**C/O J. Trauger (2016)**









#### **DM Development Team**



**Jet Propulsion Laboratory** California Institute of Technology

#### **DM Core Team**

Fai Mok (PDM) Duncan Liu (I&T Lead; Nailpin TRL6 Lead) Warren Holmes (SE Lead) Chris Lindensmith (AOX CTM) Tony Turner (SE & Metallization) Kelly Wang (Mechanical/Thermal SE) Caleb Baker (Electrical/Optical SE; VSG Lead) David Aldrich (I&T) Dan Preston (I&T)

#### **DM SMEs**

John Trauger (DMs; Coronagraphy) Saverio D'Agostino (Materials) Rob Calvet (Optomech) Josh Kempenaar (Thermal) Brian Kern (Coronagraphy) Joon Seo (Coronagraphy) Frank Greer (Metallization) Bob Scully (EMI/EMC) Kevin Pham (EMI/EMC)

#### **Mount Design & Analysis**



alysis) lysis)

#### **DM Electronics Interface**



#### **VSG**

Phil Irwin (Software) Deniz Celik (Software) Wes Baxter (Optical Eng.) Cole Meyers (Eng. Technician)

Dwight Moody (Software)

#### **Quality Assurance**

Rigo Garcia (HQA) Sam Zingales (HQA)

#### **Contractors**

AOA Xinetics (DM Core Modules) Topline (Nailpin Assembly) JMC Design (Rigidflex Harness Design) Pioneer (Rigidflex Harness Fab) Surface Optics (Surface Coating)



#### **Notes on Data in this Presentation**







## **Notes on Data in this Presentation**



- Unit conventions can be confusing.
	- I will do my best to be clear slide-to-slide which units are involved.
- Surface vs Wavefront
	- DM requirements are written in terms of Surface Figure Error (SFE)
	- Most CGI system level performance is book kept as WaveFront Error (WFE)
		- WFE =  $-2.0 * SFE$
- Stroke, Gain, and Free Stroke Ratio
	- All gains presented here are calculated via peak-displacement from an isolated poke (±5.0 V from a given bias voltage).
	- All stroke values here are presented in free stroke, which is calculated by integrating gains across voltage, *with* Free Stroke Ratio applied.
		- Free Stroke Ratio (FSR) is the ratio of peak displacement from an isolated poke to integrated area under the poke divided by square of actuator pitch.





Jet Propulsion Laboratory<br>California Institute of Technology

# **CGI DM I&T**

(Hope you like block diagraaaaaaaaaaaams)



# **CGI DM I&T Flow**



**Jet Propulsion Laboratory** California Institute of Technology





# **CGI Testing Major GSE**





- Used for capacitance, series resistance, and parallel resistance measurements.
- Had to be customized to probe different stages of assembly:
	- metallization pads.
	- soldered nailpins.
	- pins inside rigidflex nano-d connectors.
- Needs to be capable of accurately measuring up to  $\sim$ 10<sup>11</sup> Ω during parallel resistance tests.





# **CGI Testing Major GSE**



**Jet Propulsion Laboratory** California Institute of Technology



#### • **Vacuum Surface Gauge (VSG) 2**

- Descended from VSG1 heritage by John T. et. al.
- Twyman-Green interferometer inside a 4' diameter vacuum chamber.
	- Andor-NEO sCMOS camera.
	- Custom-design double-walled thermal control shroud.
		- Supports DM Proto-flight range of -5 to 52 °C.
	- Supports both GSE ("Gen 5") DM driving electronics and CGI's EDU DME.
	- ~30 µm imaging resolution gives ~10x10 pixels per actuator.
	- Retrieved surface measurements have ~8 nm objective accuracy and ~100 pm precision\*.
- This was the test venue for all major optical performance tests of the two CGI DMs.

**\*across timescales relevant to CGI DM requirements**





# **CGI DM RISK REDUCTION TESTING**

(Putting the "Cheese" in the Swiss Cheese Model)



## **Electrical Testing Results**



- On average actuator electrical characteristics were as expected:
	- 40-60 nF capacitance
	- 1010-1011 Ω parallel resistance
	- 300-500 Ω series resistance
- Some variation pre- and postmetallization; very little variation across all other interconnect processes.
- There were 7 modules fabricated by AOX; project down-selected to 2 flight + 1 spare using electrical test data.





### **Electrical Testing Results**







# **Electrical Testing Results**







### **Facesheet Inspection**







#### **Facesheet Inspection**



- Same measurement setup determined facesheet thickness and surface height relative to bezel fiducials.
- Thickness of the facesheet directly leads to the shape of the influence function each actuator exerts on the optical surface.











# **CGI DM OPTICAL PERFORMANCE TESTING**

(NO PAIN NO GAIN…map)



### **Actuator Stroke**



Jet Propulsion Laboratory<br>California Institute of Technology

• 2D view of per-actuator DM stroke for both DMs:





#### **Actuator Stroke**



• Typical S-curve view of each DM's individual and average actuator stroke:





### **Actuator Stroke (Crosstalk)**



**Jet Propulsion Laboratory** California Institute of Technology

- On DM1, no significant crosstalk was detected.
	- Every actuator cleanly controls its own local portion of the facesheet and no drive channels are cross-coupled.

\*3x3 grid displays gain of neighboring actuators due to drive voltage applied to center channel.

Example:

Center plot (1,1) shows gain of actuator (i,j) due to drive channel (i,j) (IE, the intended behavior).

Top Center plot (0,1) shows gain of actuator (i-1,j) due to voltage on drive channel (i,j)





### **Actuator Stroke (Crosstalk)**



**Jet Propulsion Laboratory** California Institute of Technology

- On DM2, there is significant vertical crosstalk.
	- This is the result of cross-coupled electrical drive channels stemming from mismatch between electrode grid and metallization pad grid.

\*3x3 grid displays gain of neighboring actuators due to drive voltage applied to center channel.

Example:

Center plot (1,1) shows gain of actuator (i,j) due to drive channel (i,j) (IE, the intended behavior).

Top Center plot (0,1) shows gain of actuator (i-1,j) due to voltage on drive channel (i,j)





#### **Surface Temperature Dependence**



• DM control surfaces show notable thermal dependence.



- DM01: 0.98 nm/K
- DM02: 1.15 nm/K

**The most significant result here is that print through of the HLC control pattern is easily seen in the thermal dependence.**

**This performance is fine for CGI at baseline and threshold performance requirements, but temperature dependence in the DM control solution is the largest error term in the HOWFSC FRN Error Budget.**

**If CGI thermal control performed at its requirement level (10 mK stability), this would comprise a 7E-9 effect in contrast across 3-9 λ/D (-B. Kern)**





**Jet Propulsion Laboratory** California Institute of Technology

• When fully desiccated in vacuum, both DM1 and DM2 show  $-500$ 300 significant cylindrical error terms. 200  $100E$ 0<br>-100  $\overset{\circ}{\ge}$ – Convex surface ⇒ concave wavefront  $-200$  $-200\,\overline{\overline{z}}$ – Effect is exacerbated by voltage bias application. -300  $-400$ – WFE here is taken at 40V "Pure Piston"  $-50$ • Slight improvement over naïve 40V bias application at preserving  $20$ 10 initial, unpowered surface figure.  $-20$ Y (mm) -100<br>Wavefront Error (nm)  $-10$  $-10$  $\Omega$ WFE (911.46 nm PV; 201.1 nm RMS)  $x_{(m_{n})}$ 10 400  $20$ 20  $y_{(m_{n})}$  $\times$  ( $w_{wy}$ )  $-20$ 20  $-10$  $10$  $-200$  $\mathbf{0}$ 10  $10\,$  $-10$ 20  $-20$ WFE (nm) **DM01 Desiccated WFE** 300  $-200$  $y$  (mm) 200  $P-V = 911.4$  nm  $\mathbf 0$ 100 PM<br>
0 PM<br>
0 AM<br>
-100 PM<br>
-200 PM<br>
-20 • **RMS** = 201.1 nm200  $-300$  $-10$  $-300$  $-400$  $-400$  $-400$  $-20$  $-20$  $-10$  $\mathbf{0}$  $10$ 20  $x$  (mm)



**Jet Propulsion Laboratory** California Institute of Technology

1000 • When fully desiccated in vacuum, both DM1 and DM2 show significant cylindrical error terms.  $800$  $_{600}$  $(\hat{\bar{\epsilon}})$ – Convex surface ⇒ concave wavefront 600<br>400<br>Full WFE ( – Effect is exacerbated by voltage bias application.  $-80$ 200 – WFE here is taken at 40V "Pure Piston" • Slight improvement over naïve 40V bias application at preserving  $20$ initial, unpowered surface figure. Y (mm) 400<br>Wavefront Error (nm)  $-20$ WFE (966.9 nm PV: 444.72 nm RMS)  $-10$  $-10$  $x_{(m_{n})}$ 10 20  $20$  $-800$  $20$  $-20$ y (mm)  $-10$ 10  $\Omega$ WFE (nm)  $-600$ **DM02 Desiccated WFE**  $y$  (mm)  $\Omega$  $P-V = 966.9$  nm 400 800 **RMS** =  $444.7$  nm Full WFE (nm) 600  $-10$  $-200$  $-200$ 400 200  $-20$  $-20$  $-10$  $10<sup>°</sup>$  $20$  $\mathsf{o}$  $x$  (mm)





• Timescale for desiccation was painful for CGI system TVAC, but should not pose problems during/after on-orbit commissioning simply due to time gap before CGI is operational.







**Jet Propulsion Laboratory** California Institute of Technology

• Root cause: constriction of headers and reinforcing epoxy under vacuum desiccation.





**Long Timescale Control Surface Drift**



• Longer-term drift characterization with mean-40V control maps gives insight into drift behavior of CGI DMs under applied voltages.



**\*All these analyses c/o Brian Kern (7/8/2022)**

**†Also published in John E. Krist, et. al., "End-to-end numerical modeling of the Roman Space Telescope coronagraph," J. Astron. Telesc. Instrum. Syst. 9(4) 045002 (11 October 2023) https://doi.org/10.1117/1.JATIS.9.4.045002**

**\*\*Image parity here flipped vertical from source presentation to correct for the somewhat heretical placement of origin at bottom left**



#### **Long Timescale Control Surface Drift**



**Jet Propulsion Laboratory** California Institute of Technology

• Behavior *does* affect control maps (IE it is not purely bulk piston).



**correct for the somewhat heretical placement of origin at bottom left**





Jet Propulsion Laboratory<br>California Institute of Technology

# **DM LESSONS LEARNED**

(Need to write all these down so we can ignore them later)



# **Summary of Performance-Based Lessons Learned**



**Jet Propulsion Laboratory** California Institute of Technology





## **CGI Impact from DM1 Dead Actuator**



- DM1's dead actuator was a near-miss for the technical performance of CGI.
	- It sits (almost entirely) behind the Lyot stop in HLC mode and is partially occluded in both SPC modes, allowing all modes to still achieve threshold and baseline requirement performance.



Figure 49. The "strength" of each DM actuator for each baseline coronagraphic mode. These maps were derived by separately pistoning each actuator by an equal amount and measuring the total change in simulated dark hole intensity. The maximum value for each actuator is shown in the "max" map, which is used to determine which actuators need to be individually controlled. Each map is  $48 \times 48$  actuators.



Figure 60. Maps of maximum actuator stroke over one-quarter of DM1 with obscuration patterns superposed and the dead actuator circled. (a) The OTA obscurations outlined; (b) the HLC Lyot stop openings outlined; (c) the SPC-WFOV pupil mask; (d) the SPC-Spec pupil mask.

**\*John E. Krist, et. al., "End-to-end numerical modeling of the Roman Space Telescope coronagraph," J. Astron. Telesc. Instrum. Syst. 9(4) 045002 (11 October 20[23\) https://doi.org/10.1117/1.JATIS.9.4.04500](https://doi.org/10.1117/1.JATIS.9.4.045002)2 \*\*Although I have, again, corrected orientation on the right plot for heretical placement of the origin.**



#### **Viable Screening Tests**



- Due to the high demands on actuator yield and DM performance, robust screening tests and module cherry picking are highly recommended during HWO DM development.
- Early capacitance measurements are actually a reliable method for determining aliveness and general actuator health.





#### **Viable Screening Tests**



- Due to the high demands on actuator yield and DM performance, robust screening tests and module cherry picking are highly recommended during HWO DM development.
- Regions of likely crosstalk like CGI DM2 can also be seen (at least retrospectively) in early module capacitance measurements.





# **Summary of Performance-Based Lessons Learned**







# **Summary of Performance-Based Lessons Learned**









Jet Propulsion Laboratory<br>California Institute of Technology

# **BACKUP**

(Nothing is hidden in here, I swear)























- Both DMs passed hysteresis requirement (<1% of commanded motion for 30nm):
	- $-$  0.65% of ~200nm command for DM1
	- $-$  0.97% of ~200nm command for DM2







#### **Influence Function Shape**



- Influence function requirement focuses largely on the Free Stroke Ratio.
	- Requirement: **FSR of <2.1** for all actuators in active region



**\*Greyscale here is a cross section of every poke image on the respective DM. CGI FSW utilizes an average influence function for each DM (red curve) for HOWFSC implementation.**



# **Influence Function Shape**



**Jet Propulsion Laboratory** California Institute of Technology

- Influence function requirement focuses largely on the Free Stroke Ratio.
	- Requirement: **FSR of <2.1** for all actuators in active region
	- DM01 has ideal facesheet thickness.
		- Median FSR: **1.4** (ideal FSR)





**(above) FSR for all actuators on DM01, colorscaled by FSR. (left) Histogram of FSR for DM01. Only a handful of actuators (on the edges) fail the FSR < 2.1 requirement, which is inconsequential.**



# **Influence Function Shape**



**Jet Propulsion Laboratory** California Institute of Technology

- Influence function requirement focuses largely on the Free Stroke Ratio.
	- Requirement: **FSR of <2.1** for all actuators in active region
	- DM02 wound up with thicker than ideal facesheet.
		- Median FSR: **1.7** (higher than ideal FSR)





**(above) FSR for all actuators on DM02, colorscaled by FSR. (left) Histogram of FSR for DM02. In addition to edge actuators (again inconsequential, now also significant amounts of actuators in the crosstalk region show increased FSR due to the crosstalk (issue book kept elsewhere in CGI DM V&V).**



# **DM Self-Flattening Capability**



• DM01 capability for self-flattening is generally around 3-4 nm surface rms.



#### **\*All data here taken in TVAC at 26C**



**DM Self-Flattening Capability**



• DM02 capability for self-flattening is generally around 5 nm surface rms and requires multiple iterations to account for crosstalk region.



**\*All data here taken in TVAC at 26C**



### **Stroke: Clustering of Low Stroke Actuators**

• Requirement: No clusters of 10 or more low-stroke actuators (threshold set at 95% level) within any given 5-actuator radius.





**Z11+ Stability – Temperature Dependence**







**Desiccation Drift (Flatness: Z4 & Temporal Stability - Z4-Z11)**



• Timescale for desiccation was painful for CGI system TVAC, but should not pose problems during/after on-orbit commissioning simply due to time gap before CGI is operational.





**Long Timescale Control Surface Drift**



• Longer-term drift characterization with mean-40V control maps gives insight into drift behavior of CGI DMs under applied voltages.



**\*All these analyses c/o Brian Kern (7/8/2022) †Also published in John E. Krist, et. al., "End-to-end numerical modeling of the Roman Space Telescope coronagraph," J. Astron. Telesc. Instrum. Syst. 9(4) 045002 (11 October 2023) https://doi.org/10.1117/1.JATIS.9.4.045002**



## **Testing Venue Precision**



- Improvements to VSG are required to adequately test HWO DMs.
	- Data per-pixel or per-actuator (IE all resolvable spatial frequencies) in VSG2



**(Above) "Actuator" surface heights of a 2" flat tracked over a series of measurement sets, each set a 5-measurement average. Each greyscale curve is the surface height of a specific 10x10 pixel region. Red curve is the average for each measurement.**





#### **Testing Venue Precision**



- Improvements to VSG are required to adequately test HWO DMs.
	- Data for low-order spatial frequencies (Z4-Z11) in VSG2

Table 338478: Weights defining weighted sum,  $S = (\sum_i \Delta Z_i^2 w_i)^{1/2}$  for  $i = 4$  to 11











# **DM PERFORMANCE DURING CGI TVAC**

(Just in case people want to see things)



**CGI Demonstrated Raw Contrast**



**Jet Propulsion Laboratory** California Institute of Technology

• Hybrid-Lyot Coronagraphy Mode demonstration during CGI TVAC  $(3.3x10^{-8}$  @ 6-9  $\lambda$ /D).



**\*Data c/o Ilya Poberezhskiy, Matt Smith, and the rest of CGI PSE. Taken from CGI Pre-Ship Review (5/2/2024)**



**CGI Demonstrated Raw Contrast**



• Shaped Pupil Coronagraphy Mode demonstration during CGI TVAC  $(4.3x10^{-8}$  @ 6-9  $\lambda$ /D).



**\*Data c/o Ilya Poberezhskiy, Matt Smith, and the rest of CGI PSE. Taken from CGI Pre-Ship Review (5/2/2024)**



## **CGI Wavefront Flattening**



**Jet Propulsion Laboratory** California Institute of Technology

• DMs were able to acceptably flatten CGI front-end WFE during TVAC.

> **Result of final DM settings for flattening CGI front-end WFE entering HOWFSC in HLC mode during CGI TVAC testing.**

> > rms nm

Per comment by AJ Riggs:

- End-to-end WFE at flat setting was perfectly fine for HLC, which throws a large amount of WFE into the system anyway.
- SPC would ideally have flatter wavefront at the beginning of HOWFSC iterations.
	- Initial flattening not the main limitation to SPC during CGI TVAC, but likely did slow down SPC iterations.

#### **\*Data c/o AJ Riggs & CGI PSE (7/19/2024)**







# **CGI Mitigations for Desiccation Drift**



**Jet Propulsion Laboratory** California Institute of Technology

- Moisture desiccation drift is cylindrical, which is chiefly focus (Z4) and vertical astigmatism (Z6). – This means intentionally misaligning one of CGI's OAP pairs can introduce opposite-sign Z4 and Z6 to
	- compensate.



#### **\*Slide c/o Brian Monacelli & Jordan Rupp (2/16/2023)**



# **CGI Mitigations for Desiccation Drift**







# **CGI Mitigations for Desiccation Drift**



- Moisture drift also prompted a painstaking operational constraint during CGI FFT and TVAC.
	- DMs had to be maintained under constant nitrogen purge to prevent long wait times for stabilization during FFT and TVAC.
		- This significantly complicated logistics for CGI system environment testing (EMI/EMC and Random Vibration, specifically), as the purge needed to travel with the system to other JPL testing venues.
	- Residual dryout drift was still detected during system TVAC.





### **CGI Contrast Stability**



- Contrast stability was deprecated from test to analysis for TVAC; a small window of contrast stability data was taken, but assessment is still pending.
	- However, we *do* have extrapolations from DM stand alone testing data using FRN budget analysis.





#### **CGI Contrast Stability**



- Contrast stability was deprecated from test to analysis for TVAC; a small window of contrast stability data was taken, but assessment is still pending.
	- However, we *do* have extrapolations from DM stand alone testing data using FRN budget analysis.

